

## Origins of Regioselectivity and Alkene-Directing Effects in Nickel-Catalyzed Reductive Couplings of Alkynes and Aldehydes

Peng Liu,<sup>†</sup> Patrick McCarren,<sup>†</sup> Paul Ha-Yeon Cheong,<sup>†</sup> Timothy F. Jamison,<sup>\*,‡</sup> and K. N. Houk<sup>\*,†</sup>

*Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90095, and Department of Chemistry, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139*

Received November 10, 2009; E-mail: tfj@mit.edu; houk@chem.ucla.edu

**Abstract:** The origins of reactivity and regioselectivity in nickel-catalyzed reductive coupling reactions of alkynes and aldehydes were investigated with density functional calculations. The regioselectivities of reactions of simple alkynes are controlled by steric effects, while conjugated enynes and diynes are predicted to have increased reactivity and very high regioselectivities, placing alkenyl or alkynyl groups distal to the forming C–C bond. The reactions of enynes and diynes involve 1,4-attack of the Ni–carbonyl complex on the conjugated enyne or diyne. The consequences of these conclusions on reaction design are discussed.

### Introduction

Nickel-catalyzed reductive coupling reactions between alkynes and aldehydes provide an efficient way to prepare synthetically useful allylic alcohols.<sup>1–6</sup> The first intermolecular reductive coupling of alkyne and aldehyde was reported in 2000,<sup>2,3</sup> utilizing a Ni(0) catalyst, tributylphosphine ligand, and triethylborane as the stoichiometric reducing agent. Ni(0) can also catalyze the coupling reaction using *N*-heterocyclic carbene (NHC) ligand and triethylsilane reducing agent, as reported by

Montgomery.<sup>4</sup> The reductive coupling reaction was later applied to various groups of substrates. In addition to the reaction with aldehydes, alkynes were shown to undergo catalytic reductive coupling reactions with epoxides<sup>7</sup> and imines.<sup>8</sup> The coupling reactions with allenes<sup>9</sup> and alkenes<sup>10</sup> were also achieved recently using nickel catalysts.

One of the major features of these intermolecular coupling reactions is the ability to control regioselectivity. For many combinations of alkynes and aldehydes, the regioselectivity is very high (>95:5), and in some of these, either the PR<sub>3</sub>/BEt<sub>3</sub> or the *N*-heterocyclic carbene (NHC)/Et<sub>3</sub>SiH variant can be used (Scheme 1). Several models of regioselectivity have been proposed for Ni-catalyzed alkylative and reductive coupling reactions,<sup>11</sup> but theoretical analysis on the origin of regioselectivity has not been provided. Of particular interest to us was that in both the PR<sub>3</sub>/BEt<sub>3</sub> and the NHC/Et<sub>3</sub>SiH systems, conjugated 1,3-enynes have enhanced reactivities as compared to nearly all other alkynes, and strong directing effects lead exclusively to products with alkenyl groups distal to the forming


<sup>†</sup> University of California.

<sup>‡</sup> Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

- (1) For reviews, see: (a) Montgomery, J. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2000**, *33*, 467. (b) Ikeda, S. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2003**, *42*, 5120. (c) Montgomery, J. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 3890. (d) Montgomery, J.; Sormunen, G. J. In *Metal Catalyzed Reductive C-C Bond Formation: A Departure from Preformed Organometallic Reagents*; Krische, M. J., Ed.; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, 2007; pp 1–23. (e) Moslin, R. M.; Miller-Moslin, K.; Jamison, T. F. *Chem. Commun.* **2007**, 4441.
- (2) Huang, W. S.; Chan, J.; Jamison, T. F. *Org. Lett.* **2000**, *2*, 4221.
- (3) The first nickel-catalyzed intermolecular alkylative couplings of alkynes and aldehydes were reported in 1997: Oblinger, E.; Montgomery, J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, *119*, 9065.
- (4) Mahandru, G. M.; Liu, G.; Montgomery, J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 3698.
- (5) For more examples of Ni-catalyzed intermolecular alkyne–aldehyde coupling, see: (a) Colby, E. A.; Jamison, T. F. *J. Org. Chem.* **2003**, *68*, 156. (b) Miller, K. M.; Huang, W. S.; Jamison, T. F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 3442. (c) Miller, K. M.; Molinaro, C.; Jamison, T. F. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2003**, *14*, 3619. (d) Takai, K.; Sakamoto, S.; Isshiki, T. *Org. Lett.* **2003**, *5*, 653. (e) Luanphaisarnnont, T.; Ndubaku, C. O.; Jamison, T. F. *Org. Lett.* **2005**, *7*, 2937. (f) Tekevac, T. N.; Louie, J. *Org. Lett.* **2005**, *7*, 4037. (g) Moslin, R. M.; Miller, K. M.; Jamison, T. F. *Tetrahedron* **2006**, *62*, 7598. (h) Moslin, R. M.; Jamison, T. F. *Org. Lett.* **2006**, *8*, 455. (i) Sa-Ei, K.; Montgomery, J. *Org. Lett.* **2006**, *8*, 4441. (j) Chaulagain, M. R.; Sormunen, G. J.; Montgomery, J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 9568. (k) Yang, Y.; Zhu, S.-F.; Zhou, C.-Y.; Zhou, Q.-L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 14052. (l) Tekavec, T. N.; Louie, J. *J. Org. Chem.* **2008**, *73*, 2641. (m) Saito, N.; Katayama, T.; Sato, Y. *Org. Lett.* **2008**, *10*, 3829. (n) Trenkle, J. D.; Jamison, T. F. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 5366. (o) Malik, H. A.; Chaulagain, M. R.; Montgomery, J. *Org. Lett.* **2009**, *11*, 5734.

- (6) For Ni-catalyzed intermolecular coupling reactions with enynes and carbonyl compounds, see: (a) Miller, K. M.; Luanphaisarnnont, T.; Molinaro, C.; Jamison, T. F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 4130. (b) Miller, K. M.; Jamison, T. F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 15342. (c) Miller, K. M.; Jamison, T. F. *Org. Lett.* **2005**, *7*, 3077. (d) Miller, K. M.; Colby, E. A.; Woodin, K. S.; Jamison, T. F. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2005**, *347*, 1533. (e) Also see ref 4.
- (7) (a) Molinaro, C.; Jamison, T. F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 8076. (b) Sparling, B. A.; Simpson, G. L.; Jamison, T. F. *Tetrahedron* **2009**, *65*, 3270.
- (8) (a) Patel, S. J.; Jamison, T. F. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2003**, *42*, 1364. (b) Patel, S. J.; Jamison, T. F. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 3941.
- (9) (a) Ng, S.-S.; Jamison, T. F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 7320. (b) Ng, S.-S.; Jamison, T. F. *Tetrahedron* **2006**, *61*, 11405.
- (10) (a) Ogoshi, S.; Ueta, M.; Arai, T.; Kurosawa, H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 12810. (b) Ng, S. S.; Jamison, T. F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 14194. (c) Ng, S. S.; Ho, C. Y.; Schleicher, K. D.; Jamison, T. F. *Pure Appl. Chem.* **2008**, *80*, 929.
- (11) (a) Knapp-Reed, B.; Mahandru, G. M.; Montgomery, J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 13156. (b) See refs 3, 5m,o.

Scheme 1. Ni-Catalyzed Reductive Coupling Reactions



C–C bond.<sup>6</sup> For example, coupling with enyne *t*-Bu–C≡C–CH=CH<sub>2</sub> using phosphine ligand and BEt<sub>3</sub> reductant leads to C–C bond formation at the very hindered *tert*-butyl position exclusively (Scheme 1).<sup>6a</sup> By contrast, simple alkynes with *tert*-alkyl substituents (*t*-Bu–C≡C–alkyl and *t*-Bu–C≡C–Ar) do not undergo reductive coupling under similar conditions. Similar alkene-directing effects were also observed in Ni-catalyzed reductive coupling reactions with conjugated enynes and epoxides,<sup>7b</sup> and in Rh- and Zr-catalyzed coupling reactions with 1,3-enynes.<sup>12</sup> The dramatic effects of conjugated enynes on reactivity and regioselectivity are not consistent with a purely steric and/or electronic phenomenon. Tethered alkenyl groups in enynes and dienes are also known to increase the reactivity and regioselectivity of transition metal-catalyzed coupling reactions.<sup>13</sup> The directing effects of tethered alkenyl groups are usually explained by coordination with the metal center during the rate- and regioselectivity-determining step, because the length of tether has dramatic effects on the reactivity and regioselectivity of enynes. While 1,6-enynes show strong directing effects in Ni-catalyzed ligand-free reductive couplings, those enynes with shorter one- or two-atom tethers are not reactive under the same conditions, presumably due to the large strain energy required for the alkenyl group to coordinate with metal.<sup>6b</sup> In contrast, conjugated 1,3-enynes have strong directing effects in Ni-catalyzed reductive coupling reactions, even though coordination of the alkenyl group with the metal is expected intuitively to require large distortions of the enyne in the transition state.

- (12) For examples of Zr-catalyzed coupling reactions with 1,3-enynes, see: (a) Takahashi, T.; Xi, Z.; Nishihara, Y.; Huo, S.; Kasai, K.; Aoyagi, K.; Denisov, V.; Negishi, E. *Tetrahedron* **1997**, *53*, 9123. (b) Zhou, Y. B.; Chen, J. J.; Zhao, C. B.; Wang, E. J.; Liu, Y. H.; Li, Y. X. *J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, *74*, 5326. For examples of Rh-catalyzed coupling reactions with 1,3-enynes, see: (c) Jang, H.-Y.; Huddleston, R. R.; Krische, M. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 4664. (d) Kong, J. R.; Cho, C.-W.; Krische, M. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 11269. (e) Kong, J.-R.; Ngai, M.-Y.; Krische, M. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 718. (f) Komanduri, V.; Krische, M. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 16448. (g) Hong, Y.-T.; Cho, C.-W.; Skucas, E.; Krische, M. J. *Org. Lett.* **2007**, *9*, 3745.
- (13) For a review of alkene-directing effects, see: (a) Johnson, J. B.; Rovis, T. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 840. For examples of alkene-directing effects in metal-catalyzed reactions, see: Pd, (b) Trost, B. M.; Lautens, M.; Chan, C.; Jebaratnam, D. J.; Meuller, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1991**, *113*, 636. (c) Trost, B. M.; Tanoury, G. J.; Lautens, M.; Chan, C.; MacPherson, D. T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994**, *116*, 4255. (d) Krafft, M. E.; Sugiura, M.; Abboud, K. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 9174. Ni, (e) see ref 6b. Zn, (f) Marek, I.; Beruben, D.; Normant, J.-F. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1995**, *36*, 3695. (g) Marek, I.; Schreiner, P. R.; Normant, J.-F. *Org. Lett.* **1999**, *1*, 929.

We report a theoretical study on the origins of regioselectivity in Ni-catalyzed reductive coupling reactions, and the mechanism of directing groups in the reactions with conjugated enynes. Directing effects of other conjugated alkynes are also predicted. The directing effects are found to arise from a unique 1,4-attack transition state involving strong coordination of the double bond of enyne with metal. Distortion/interaction analysis on transition state structures suggests that the high reactivity and regioselectivity of 1,3-enynes result from not only the  $\pi$ -metal stabilizing interaction but also smaller alkyne distortion in the 1,4-attack transition state.


## Computational Method

All minima and transition state geometries were optimized with the B3LYP functional implemented in Gaussian 03.<sup>14</sup> The LANL2DZ basis set was used for nickel, and 6-31G(d) was used for other atoms. All relative energies presented in this Article are Gibbs free energies in kilocalories per mole, with respect to the most stable prereaction complex, alkyne(diphosphine)nickel(0). Zero-point vibrational energies and thermodynamic corrections at 298 K were calculated at the same level as the geometry optimization.

## Regioselectivity of Simple Terminal Alkynes

The mechanism of nickel-catalyzed reductive alkyne–aldehyde coupling reactions using a phosphine ligand and organoborane reductant has been studied previously with density functional theory.<sup>15,16</sup> The rate- and regioselectivity-determining step is the oxidative addition of the Ni–alkyne–aldehyde complex to form the oxametallacyclopentene intermediate **3** (Table 1).<sup>17</sup> The oxidative additions of simple alkynes and aldehydes occur via planar five-membered cyclic transition states. Lewis acids promote the oxidative addition by coordinating with the aldehyde oxygen in the oxidative addition transition state; in contrast, organoborane reductants are not involved in the oxidative addition step, due to relatively low Lewis acidity. The overall activation barrier is determined by the energy difference

- (14) Frisch, M. J.; et al. *Gaussian 03*, revision D.01; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT, 2004.
- (15) McCarren, P. R.; Liu, P.; Cheong, P. H.-Y.; Jamison, T. F.; Houk, K. N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 6654.
- (16) For a theoretical study on the mechanism of Ni-catalyzed alkyne–enone reductive coupling reactions with ZnMe<sub>2</sub> reductant in a ligand-free system, see: Hratchian, H. P.; Chowdhury, S. K.; Gutierrez-Garcia, V. M.; Amarasinghe, K. K. D.; Heeg, M. J.; Schlegel, H. B.; Montgomery, J. *Organometallics* **2004**, *23*, 4636.
- (17) The oxametallacyclopentene intermediate has been observed in the stoichiometric alkyne–aldehyde coupling reaction: Ogoshi, S.; Arai, T.; Ohashi, M.; Kurosawa, H. *Chem. Commun.* **2008**, 1347.

**Table 1.** Activation Energies for Ni-Catalyzed Reductive Couplings of Alkynes and Acetaldehyde<sup>a</sup>


alkyne	R <sub>1</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	ΔG <sup>‡</sup> (kcal/mol)		ΔΔG <sup>‡</sup> (kcal/mol)	major product	exp. selectivity <sup>1,2,4</sup> (4-A:4-B)
			TS1-A	TS1-B			
<b>1a</b>	H	H	27.9			<b>4a</b>	
<b>1b</b>	Me	H	27.5	30.0	2.5	<b>4b-A</b>	96:4 <sup>b,c</sup>
<b>1c</b>	<i>t</i> -Bu	H	29.1	35.4	6.3	<b>4c-A</b>	
<b>1d</b>	Ph	H	28.2	33.8	5.6	<b>4d-A</b>	>98:2 <sup>b,d</sup>
<b>1e</b>	NH <sub>2</sub>	H	25.2	29.2	4.0	<b>4e-A</b>	
<b>1f</b>	CN	H	29.5	35.3	5.8	<b>4f-A</b>	
<b>1g</b>	CH=O	H	28.9	33.5	4.6	<b>4g-A</b>	
<b>1h</b>	COMe	H	28.1	33.8	5.7	<b>4h-A</b>	
<b>1i</b>	CO <sub>2</sub> Me	H	27.5	33.1	5.6	<b>4i-A</b>	
<b>1j</b>	CF <sub>3</sub>	H	28.8	34.7	5.9	<b>4j-A</b>	
<b>1k</b>	CH=CH <sub>2</sub>	H	19.8	32.5	12.7	<b>4k-A</b>	>98:2 <sup>e</sup>
<b>1l</b>	CMe=CH <sub>2</sub>	H	21.3	33.4	12.1	<b>4l-A</b>	
<b>1m</b>	CMe=CMe <sub>2</sub>	H	25.1	34.3	9.2	<b>4m-A</b>	
<b>1n</b>	C≡CH	H	21.0	35.4	14.4	<b>4n-A</b>	
<b>1o</b>	Ph	Me	30.8	34.0	3.2	<b>4o-A</b>	92:8 <sup>b,f</sup>
<b>1p</b>	CH=CH <sub>2</sub>	Ph	20.0	33.7	13.7	<b>4p-A</b>	95:5 <sup>g</sup>
<b>1q</b>	<i>t</i> -Bu	Me	36.9	38.1	1.2	<b>4q-A</b>	no rxn
<b>1r</b>	CH=CH <sub>2</sub>	<i>t</i> -Bu	19.3	39.5	20.2	<b>4r-A</b>	>95:5 <sup>g</sup>
<b>1s</b>	COMe	Me	32.6	36.6	4.1	<b>4s-A</b>	
<b>1t</b>	COMe	<i>t</i> -Bu	30.6	41.5	11.0	<b>4t-A</b>	
<b>1u</b>	CO <sub>2</sub> Me	Me	32.5	34.8	2.4	<b>4u-A</b>	

<sup>a</sup> B3LYP/LANL2DZ-6-31G(d) free energies with respect to alkyne (diphosphine)nickel complex **5**. PMe<sub>3</sub> was used as ligand in the calculations. <sup>b</sup> P(*n*-Bu)<sub>3</sub> used as ligand. BEt<sub>3</sub> used as reductant. <sup>c</sup> 1-Octyne used in place of propyne. Benzaldehyde used in place of acetaldehyde. <sup>d</sup> Octanal used in place of acetaldehyde. <sup>e</sup> *N*-Heterocyclic carbene used as ligand. Et<sub>3</sub>SiH used as reductant. HC≡C-CH=CH-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>13</sub> used in place of HC≡C-CH=CH<sub>2</sub>. <sup>f</sup> Butyraldehyde used in place of acetaldehyde. <sup>g</sup> Tricyclopentylphosphine (PCyp<sub>3</sub>) used as ligand. BEt<sub>3</sub> used as reductant. Isobutyraldehyde used in place of acetaldehyde.

between the oxidative addition transition state **TS1** and the most stable prereaction  $\pi$ -complex, alkyne(diphosphine)nickel **5**. In the oxidative addition transition state, unsymmetrically substituted alkynes may adopt two different orientations, leading to two regioisomeric products **4-A** and **4-B**. The regioselectivity between **4-A** and **4-B** is determined by the relative activation barriers of the two pathways ( $\Delta\Delta G^\ddagger$ ). The activation energies and predicted regioselectivities of the coupling with acetaldehyde and several terminal and internal alkynes were calculated at the B3LYP/LANL2DZ-6-31G(d) level and are given in Table 1. The smaller PMe<sub>3</sub> ligand was used in the calculations, because the size of ligand has little effect on regioselectivity (see below).

All predicted regioselectivities are in agreement with experimental data, where available. For simple alkyl and aryl alkynes (**1a–d**), the regioselectivities are mainly controlled by steric effects. For all terminal alkynes, substituents prefer to be distal to the forming C–C bond, and bulkier substituents lead to greater regioselectivity. This suggests that the steric repulsions in the oxidative addition are greater in the forming C–C bonds than in the forming Ni–C bonds. The steric effects can be observed by comparing the lengths of the forming Ni–C and C–C bonds: the forming C–C bond lengths are more sensitive to the size of the alkyne substituent than are the forming Ni–C bond lengths (Table 2). When the substituents are proximal to the metal (pathway **A**), the Ni–C bonds in **TS1b-A**, **TS1c-A**,


**Table 2.** The Forming Ni–C and C–C Bond Lengths in the Oxidative Addition Transition States **TS1**<sup>a</sup>

TS	R <sub>1</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	pathway	r(Ni–C) (Å)	r(C–C) (Å)
<b>TS1a</b>	H	H		1.81 (0)	1.67 (0)
<b>TS1b-A</b>	Me	H	<b>A</b>	1.83 (0.02)	1.64 (–0.03)
<b>TS1b-B</b>	Me	H	<b>B</b>	1.81 (0.00)	1.72 (0.05)
<b>TS1c-A</b>	<i>t</i> -Bu	H	<b>A</b>	1.84 (0.03)	1.60 (–0.07)
<b>TS1c-B</b>	<i>t</i> -Bu	H	<b>B</b>	1.81 (0.00)	1.75 (0.08)
<b>TS1d-A</b>	Ph	H	<b>A</b>	1.83 (0.02)	1.65 (–0.02)
<b>TS1d-B</b>	Ph	H	<b>B</b>	1.81 (0.00)	1.72 (0.05)

<sup>a</sup> Changes of bond lengths as compared to **TS1a** are given in parentheses.

and **TS1d-A** are only slightly lengthened by 0.02, 0.03, and 0.02 Å, respectively, as compared to the unsubstituted **TS1a**. When the substituents are proximal to the forming C–C bond (pathway **B**), the forming C–C bonds in **TS1b-B**, **TS1c-B**, and **TS1d-B** are lengthened by 0.05, 0.07, and 0.05 Å, respectively. The greater changes of bond lengths in pathway **B** suggest that the repulsion of the alkyne substituents with aldehyde is much larger than the repulsion with the nickel and phosphine ligand in pathway **A**.

Electron-rich and electron-deficient alkynes are predicted to have similar regioselectivities (alkynes **1e** and **1f–j**, respectively). The regioselectivities of polarized alkynes are also controlled by steric effects. The lack of dependence on donor



**Figure 1.** Oxidative addition transition states for the reaction with 1,3-butenyne **1k** and acetaldehyde.

or acceptor character of the substituent suggests that electronic interactions have little effect on regioselectivity. Electron-deficient alkynes **1f–j** have slightly higher activation barriers than electron-rich alkynes **1b** and **1e**, due to the stronger binding of nickel with electron-deficient alkynes in the catalyst resting complex **5**.

### Regioselectivity of Simple Internal Alkyne Reactions


The steric effects of alkyne substituents on regioselectivity are additive. For alkyl- or aryl-substituted internal alkynes, bulkier groups prefer to be distal to the forming C–C bond. C–C bond formation distal to the large phenyl group of 1-propynylbenzene ( $R_1 = \text{Ph}$ ,  $R_2 = \text{Me}$ , **1o**) is favored by 3.2 kcal/mol, in agreement with the experimental regioselectivity. Large alkyne substituents increase the activation barriers for both pathways. The experimentally unreactive 4,4-dimethylpent-2-yne ( $R_1 = t\text{-Bu}$ ,  $R_2 = \text{Me}$ , **1q**) is predicted to have a high activation energy of 36.9 kcal/mol.

### Regioselectivity of Conjugated 1,3-Enyne Reactions – Alkene-Directing Effects by 1,4-Addition

Conjugated 1,3-enynes have dramatic effects on both reactivity and regioselectivity. The vinyl substituent of 1,3-enynes strongly prefers pathway **A** (TS1-A) by 12.7, 13.7, and 20.2

kcal/mol in the coupling with enynes **1k**, **1p**, and **1r** ( $R_2 = \text{H}$ ,  $\text{Ph}$ , and  $t\text{-Bu}$ ), respectively. This suggests that the C–C bond is exclusively formed distal to the alkenyl group on the enyne. The activation barriers ( $\Delta G^\ddagger = \sim 20$  kcal/mol) of these reactions are much lower than the coupling with simple alkynes, leading to greater reactivities of 1,3-enynes. These results are in good agreement with experimental reactivities and regioselectivities of enynes.

Figure 1 illustrates the structures of the rate-determining oxidative addition transition states and the metallacycle intermediates in the three possible alkyne–aldehyde coupling pathways for the reaction with 1,3-butenyne **1k** and acetaldehyde. Three alternative pathways involving coupling at the double bond of the enyne were calculated, and all are predicted to have higher activation energies than the coupling at the triple bond (Figure 2). The most favorable transition state **TS1k-A** involves attack of nickel and aldehyde on the 1- and 4-positions of the enyne. The 1,4-attack requires only 19.8 kcal/mol activation energy, much lower than the 1,2-attack in pathway **A'**, which leads to the same regioisomeric product ( $\Delta G^\ddagger = 28.2$  kcal/mol). When the vinyl group is proximal to the forming C–C bond (pathway **B**), only 1,2-attack is possible. The activation energy for pathway **B** is 32.5 kcal/mol, also much higher than that for pathway **A**.



**Figure 2.** Oxidative addition transition states in alternative pathways for the reaction with 1,3-butenyne **1k** and acetaldehyde.

The transition state structure of the 1,4-addition **TS1k-A** is very different from the five-membered cyclic oxidative addition transition states in the 1,2-pathway (**TS1k-A'**). The lengths of the forming C–C and Ni–C bonds in **TS1k-A** are 2.25 and 2.59 Å, respectively, much longer than in the 1,2-attack (1.65 and 1.83 Å, respectively). This suggests a much earlier transition state in the 1,4-attack. In **TS1k-A**, Ni is strongly bonded to the vinyl group. The distances between Ni and the two vinyl carbons are only 1.94 and 2.05 Å, respectively.

The oxidative addition via the 1,4-addition **TS1k-A** leads to an  $\eta^3$ -allyl/metal complex **3k-A**. Similar  $\eta^3$ -allyl/metal complexes have been reported for other group 10 transition metals.<sup>18,19</sup> In contrast, higher energy five-membered oxametallacyclopentene intermediates **3k-A'** and **3k-B** are formed via the 1,2-pathways. The  $\eta^3$ -allyl/metal interaction only stabilizes intermediate **3k-A** by 4.4 kcal/mol as compared to complex **3k-A'**, while the energy difference in the oxidative addition transition state is 8.4 kcal/mol. This also suggests that the  $\eta^3$ -allyl/metal interaction is not the only factor that stabilizes the 1,4-addition transition state.

In addition to the alkyne–aldehyde coupling pathways shown in Figure 1, the alkene–aldehyde coupling pathways were also

calculated for the reaction with 1,3-butenyne **1k** (Figure 2). Both 1,4- and 1,2-addition transition states were located for the alkene–aldehyde couplings. The 1,4-addition **TS1k-C** is also much more stable than the 1,2-addition **TS1k-C'** and **TS1k-D**. However, **TS1k-C** is still 4.1 kcal/mol higher in energy than the coupling at the triple bond (**TS1k-A**). This agrees with experimental observation that the coupling occurs at the acetylenic terminus of the enyne.<sup>20</sup>

### Steric Effects on the Regioselectivity of Conjugated 1,3-Enynes


The regioselectivities of various 1,3-enynes with substitution at the terminal alkyne position, and at internal and terminal alkene positions, were investigated (alkynes **1k–m**, **1p**, **1r** in Table 1). All substituted 1,3-enynes show strong and dominant directing effects of alkenyl groups. The favorable 1,4-attack pathway dominates the regioselectivity and greatly increases reactivity of all 1,3-enynes. This favors bulky phenyl and *tert*-butyl groups to be adjacent to the forming C–C bond in enynes **1p** and **1r**. Vinyl *tert*-butyl acetylene (**1r**), which is very reactive experimentally, has an activation barrier of 19.3 kcal/mol, much lower than the unreactive methyl *tert*-butyl acetylene (**1o**,  $\Delta G^\ddagger = 36.9$  kcal/mol).

The size of the substituent at the terminal alkyne position has little effects on the barrier of the 1,4-addition pathway **A**. Enynes **1k** ( $R_2 = H$ ), **1p** ( $R_2 = Ph$ ), and **1r** ( $R_2 = t\text{-Bu}$ ) have very similar activation barriers for the favored pathway **A** (19.8, 20.0, and 19.3 kcal/mol, respectively). As mentioned before, the 1,4-addition occurs via an early transition state with a long forming C–C bond. Thus, steric repulsions in the forming C–C bond are relatively small. Also, alkyl or aryl substituents may stabilize the partial radical character of the terminal alkyne carbon in the 1,4-addition transition state. In contrast, bulky terminal alkyne substituents increase the repulsion with the ligand in the disfavored 1,2-addition pathway **B**, and thus lead to higher activation barriers for pathway **B** and higher regioselectivity.

Substitution by a methyl group at the internal alkene position of the 1,3-enyne slightly increases the activation barriers of both 1,4- and 1,2-pathways (Table 1, alkyne **1l**,  $R_1 = \text{CMe}=\text{CH}_2$ ,  $R_2 = H$ ). Thus, the effects of internal alkene substitution on regioselectivity are small. The regioselectivity of the reaction of enyne **1l** is very similar to that of butenyne **1k** ( $R_1 = \text{CH}=\text{CH}_2$ ,  $R_2 = H$ ).

- (18) For related  $\eta^3$ -allyl/metal complexes, see: (a) Benyunes, S. A.; Brandt, L.; Fries, A.; Green, M.; Mahon, M. F.; Papworth, T. M. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1993**, 3785. (b) Ogasawara, M.; Okada, A.; Watanabe, S.; Fan, L.; Uetake, K.; Nakajima, K.; Takahashi, T. *Organometallics* **2007**, *26*, 5025.
- (19) It has been proposed that nickel-catalyzed couplings of 1,3-dienes and aldehyde or ketone occur via  $\eta^3$ -allylalkoxynickel intermediates: (a) Sato, Y.; Takimoto, M.; Hayashi, K.; Katsuhara, T.; Takagi, K.; Mori, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994**, *116*, 9771. (b) Sato, Y.; Saito, N.; Mori, M. *Tetrahedron* **1998**, *54*, 1153. (c) Kimura, M.; Ezoe, A.; Shibata, K.; Tamaru, Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, *120*, 4033. (d) Kimura, M.; Fujimatsu, H.; Ezoe, A.; Shibata, K.; Shimizu, M.; Matsumoto, S.; Tamaru, Y. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **1999**, *38*, 397. (e) Kimura, M.; Matsuo, S.; Shibata, K.; Tamaru, Y. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **1999**, *38*, 3386. (f) Sato, Y.; Takimoto, M.; Mori, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 1624. (g) Sato, Y.; Sawaki, R.; Mori, M. *Organometallics* **2001**, *20*, 5510. (h) Sato, Y.; Saito, N.; Mori, M. *J. Org. Chem.* **2002**, *67*, 9310. (i) Ikeda, S. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2003**, *42*, 5120. (j) Sawaki, R.; Sato, Y.; Mori, M. *Org. Lett.* **2004**, *6*, 1131. (k) Kimura, M.; Ezoe, A.; Mori, M.; Iwata, K.; Tamaru, Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 8559. (l) Ogoshi, S.; Tonomori, K.; Oka, M.; Kurosawa, H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 7077. (m) Kimura, M.; Tamaru, Y. In *Metal Catalyzed Reductive C–C Bond Formation: A Departure from Preformed Organometallic Reagents*; Krische, M. J., Ed.; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, 2007; pp 173–207. (n) Yang, Y.; Zhu, S. F.; Duan, H. F.; Zhou, C. Y.; Wang, L. X.; Zhou, Q. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 2248. (o) Cho, H. Y.; Morken, J. P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 16140. (p) Saito, N.; Yamazaki, T.; Sato, Y. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2008**, *49*, 5073. (q) Saito, N.; Yamazaki, T.; Sato, Y. *Chem. Lett.* **2009**, *38*, 594.

- (20) In contrast, Ru-catalyzed coupling of 1,3-enyne and alcohol occurs at the vinylic terminus of the enyne: Patman, R. L.; Williams, V. M.; Bower, J. F.; Krische, M. J. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 5220.



**Figure 3.** Distortion energy of enyne ( $E_{\text{dist(enyne)}}$ ) and interaction energy of enyne with nickel and aldehyde ( $E_{\text{int}}$ ) as a function of the forming C–C bond length in the reaction of 1,3-butenyne **1k** and acetaldehyde.

Substitution by two methyl groups at the terminal alkene position increases the activation barrier of the 1,4-addition pathway **A**. The activation barrier of the reaction of acetaldehyde with enyne **1m** ( $R_1 = \text{CMe}=\text{CMe}_2$ ) is 3.8 kcal/mol higher than that with enyne **1l** ( $R_1 = \text{CMe}=\text{CH}_2$ ). Pathway **A** is still strongly favored for enyne **1m** ( $\Delta\Delta G^\ddagger = 9.2$  kcal/mol), but the regioselectivity is lower than with enyne **1l**, for which  $\Delta\Delta G^\ddagger$  is 12.1 kcal/mol.

### Distortion/Interaction Analysis on the 1,2- and 1,4-Transition States with Enynes

To understand the factors that stabilize the 1,4-addition transition state with conjugated enynes, a distortion/interaction analysis of the oxidative addition transition states for the coupling of 1,3-butenyne and acetaldehyde was performed on both **TS1k-A** (1,4-attack) and **TS1k-A'** (1,2-attack).<sup>21</sup> The distortion energy of the enyne and the interaction energy between the enyne and the Ni–aldehyde complex along the reaction coordinate are shown in Figure 3. The data point for each geometry along the reaction coordinate was obtained by a relaxed scan of the forming C–C bond from 2.30 to 1.60 Å by the interval of 0.05 Å. The distortion energy of enyne ( $E_{\text{dist(enyne)}}$ ) is defined as the energy difference between the ground state of enyne and the distorted enyne in the transition state geometry. The interaction energy of enyne with nickel and aldehyde ( $E_{\text{int}}$ ) is defined as the energy difference between the transition state and the separated enyne and nickel/aldehyde complex at the transition state geometry. For all points along the reaction coordinate, the enyne distortion energy is lower, and the interaction energy is stronger in the 1,4-attack than in the 1,2-attack. This suggests that the origin of the alkene-directing effects is the stabilizing  $\pi$ -metal interaction as well as the smaller distortion of enyne in the 1,4-attack pathway **A**. The stronger interaction energy in the 1,4-attack is obviously due to the  $\pi$ -coordination of the vinyl group with metal. The smaller enyne distortion in the 1,4-attack is surprising, but the alkenyl group can readily coordinate to Ni without much distortion. The 1,2-attack transition state is much later than the 1,4-attack and involves significant alkyne bending to enable overlap with the Ni and the aldehyde carbon simultaneously.

(21) For previous examples of distortion/interaction analysis on transition metal-catalyzed reactions, see: (a) Legault, C. Y.; Garcia, Y.; Merlic, C. A.; Houk, K. N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 12664. (b) de Jong, G. T.; Bickelhaupt, F. M. *ChemPhysChem* **2007**, *8*, 1170. (c) Gorelsky, S. I.; Lapointe, D.; Fagnou, K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 10848.

**Table 3.** Distortion/Interaction Analysis for the Oxidative Addition Transition States<sup>a</sup>

entry	structure	R <sub>1</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	$\Delta G^\ddagger$	$\Delta E_{\text{dist}}^\ddagger$ (alkyne) <sup>b</sup>	$\Delta E_{\text{int}}^\ddagger$ <sup>c</sup>
1	<b>TS1a</b>	H	H	27.9	51.6	−89.0
2	<b>TS1b-A</b>	Me	H	26.2	57.3	−91.6
3	<b>TS1b-B</b>	Me	H	28.7	58.5	−88.2
4	<b>TS1k-A</b>	CH=CH <sub>2</sub>	H	19.8	19.0	−69.3
5	<b>TS1k-A'</b>	CH=CH <sub>2</sub>	H	28.2	54.2	−89.5
6	<b>TS1k-B</b>	CH=CH <sub>2</sub>	H	32.5	58.9	−88.6

<sup>a</sup> Energies are in kcal/mol. <sup>b</sup> Energy to distort alkynes to transition state geometry. <sup>c</sup> Energy of interaction between the distorted alkyne and the Ni(PR<sub>3</sub>)–aldehyde complex in the transition state.

There is much greater enyne distortion and smaller interaction energy observed in the disfavored **TS1k-A'** than in **TS1k-A** (Table 3).

The 1,2-addition pathway **B** of the reaction with 1,3-butenyne leads to the minor regioisomeric product; in this pathway, the alkyne distortion is even greater than in the other 1,2-addition pathway **A'** ( $\Delta E_{\text{dist}}^\ddagger(\text{alkyne}) = 54.2$  and 58.9 kcal/mol for **TS1k-A'** and **TS1k-B**, respectively). In pathway **B**, the steric repulsion of the vinyl group in the forming C–C bond is stronger than the repulsion of the vinyl group with the metal and the ligand in pathway **A**. This leads to the greater alkyne distortions in pathway **B**.

### Distortion/Interaction Analysis on the Transition States with Unconjugated Alkynes

A distortion/interaction analysis was also performed on the transition states of the couplings with simple acetylene and propyne (Table 3, entries 1–3). Transition state distortion energies of unconjugated alkynes parallel the size of the substituents on alkyne. The distortion energy of propyne is 5 kcal/mol greater than that of simple acetylene. The distortion energy of propyne is smaller in the favored pathway **A** in which smaller steric repulsion is expected. For simple unconjugated alkynes, larger steric repulsions also lead to weaker interaction energy, because the forming bond lengths are longer for sterically hindered alkynes.

### Directing Effects of Other Conjugated Alkynes

Possible directing effects with other unsaturated substituents were investigated. Favorable 1,4-attack is also predicted for the reaction with 1,3-butadiyne ( $R_1 = \text{C}\equiv\text{CH}$ , **1n**). The activation energy of the 1,2-attack is 8.0 kcal/mol higher than that for the 1,4-attack. This predicts that 1,3-diyne may have reactivity and regioselectivity similar to that of 1,3-enynes.<sup>22</sup> While vinyl and ethynyl substituents promote 1,4-attack leading to an  $\eta^3$ -allyl/metal complex, phenyl and most unsaturated heteroatom-substituted groups do not. For alkynes **1d** ( $R_1 = \text{Ph}$ ), **1f** ( $R_1 = \text{CN}$ ), **1g** ( $R_1 = \text{CHO}$ ), **1h** ( $R_1 = \text{COMe}$ ), and **1i** ( $R_1 = \text{COOMe}$ ), the 1,2-attack transition states are more stable than the 1,4-transition states by 12.6, 9.7, 1.4, 3.9, and 16.2 kcal/mol, respectively.

(22) Similar directing effects of 1,3-diyne were observed in transition-metal-catalyzed coupling reactions; the alkynyl groups prefer to be distal to the forming C–C bond. For examples, see: Rh, (a) Rourke, J. P.; Batsanov, A. S.; Howard, J. A. K.; Marder, T. B. *Chem. Commun.* **2001**, 2626. (b) Huddleston, R. R.; Jang, H. Y.; Krische, M. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 11488. (c) Cho, C. W.; Krische, M. J. *Org. Lett.* **2006**, *8*, 3873. (d) Cho, C. W.; Skucas, E.; Krische, M. J. *Organometallics* **2007**, *26*, 3860. Zr, (e) Hsu, D. P.; Davis, W. M.; Buchwald, S. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1993**, *115*, 10394.

**Table 4.** Activation Free Energies for Ni-Catalyzed Reductive Coupling Reactions between Propyne and Acetaldehyde<sup>a</sup>

entry	L	$\Delta G^\ddagger$ (kcal/mol)		$\Delta\Delta G^\ddagger$ (kcal/mol)	major product
		TS1-A	TS1-B		
1	PMe <sub>3</sub>	27.5	30.0	2.5	<b>4b-A</b>
2	PEt <sub>3</sub>	24.0	26.8	2.8	<b>4b-A</b>
3	P( <i>n</i> -Bu) <sub>3</sub>	23.7	26.9	3.2	<b>4b-A</b>
4	PPh <sub>3</sub>	21.8	24.7	2.9	<b>4b-A</b>

<sup>a</sup> B3LYP/LANL2DZ-6-31G(d) free energies with respect to propyne(diphosphine)nickel complex **5b**.

**Table 5.** Activation Free Energies for Ni-Catalyzed Reductive Coupling Reactions between 1-Propynylbenzene and Aldehydes<sup>a</sup>

entry	R <sub>3</sub>	$\Delta G^\ddagger$ (kcal/mol)		$\Delta\Delta G^\ddagger$ (kcal/mol)	major product
		TS1-A	TS1-B		
1	Me	30.8	34.0	3.2	<b>4o-A</b>
2	<i>n</i> -Pr	31.9	34.5	2.6	<b>4o-A</b>
3	<i>i</i> -Pr	32.4	34.9	2.5	<b>4o-A</b>
4	Ph	34.3	38.0	3.7	<b>4o-A</b>

<sup>a</sup> B3LYP/LANL2DZ-6-31G(d) free energies with respect to alkyne(diphosphine)nickel complex **5o**.

While 1,2-attack is favored for butynone (R<sub>1</sub> = COMe, R<sub>2</sub> = H, **1h**) by 3.9 kcal/mol, 1,4-attack is slightly favored by 1.8 kcal/mol for pent-3-yn-2-one (R<sub>1</sub> = COMe, R<sub>2</sub> = Me, **1s**), and is favored by 10.9 kcal/mol for 5,5-dimethylhex-3-yn-2-one (R<sub>1</sub> = COMe, R<sub>2</sub> = *t*-Bu, **1t**). For the weakly directing acetyl group,<sup>23</sup> bulky substitution at the terminal alkyne position promotes the 1,4-attack pathway. Because the 1,4-attack is less sensitive to the steric repulsions at the forming C–C bond (see discussions above), the activation barriers for the 1,4-attack remain similar when substituting terminal alkyne hydrogen with

methyl or *tert*-butyl group, while the barriers for 1,2-attack rise substantially due to increased steric repulsions.

### Effects of Ligands on Regioselectivities

Various phosphine ligands have been used in the Ni-catalyzed reductive coupling reactions. The activation barriers for both pathways **A** and **B** were calculated for the reaction of propyne with acetaldehyde using various phosphine ligands. The results are shown in Table 4. Larger phosphine ligands, including PEt<sub>3</sub>, P(*n*-Bu)<sub>3</sub>, and PPh<sub>3</sub>, are predicted to lead to greater reactivities than that predicted for PMe<sub>3</sub>. The catalyst resting state propyne(PMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Ni(0) complex is less crowded and thus more stable than complexes with bulkier phosphine ligands, leading to the higher activation barrier for the smaller PMe<sub>3</sub> ligand. The differences of activation energies between pathways **A** and **B** are similar (2.5–3.2 kcal/mol) for all phosphine ligands studied (Table 4). This suggests that the effects of ligands on regiose-

(23) Acyl groups have been proposed as directing groups in the coupling with enones; an  $\eta^3$ -oxaallylnickel moiety was suggested to stabilize the oxidative addition transition state, leading to formation of a C–C bond at the  $\beta$  position of the enone. For examples of Ni-catalyzed couplings with enones, see: (a) Ikeda, S.; Sato, Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994**, *116*, 5975. (b) Herath, A.; Thompson, B. B.; Montgomery, J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 8712. (c) Ho, C. Y.; Ohmiya, H.; Jamison, T. F. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 1893. (d) Ogoshi, S.; Haba, T.; Ohashi, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 10350.

lectivities are small. Thus, the small  $\text{PMe}_3$  ligand was used when calculating regioselectivities of other alkynes to save computation time.

### Effects of Aldehyde Substituents on Regioselectivities

In this theoretical study, acetaldehyde was used as the aldehyde component. Regioselectivities were also computed with butyraldehyde, isobutyraldehyde, and benzaldehyde in the reaction with 1-propynylbenzene. The predicted regioselectivities are shown Table 5. Acetaldehyde, *n*-butyraldehyde, and isobutyraldehyde have similar activation energies and regioselectivities. The activation barrier for benzaldehyde is 3.5 kcal/mol higher than that for acetaldehyde, but the regioselectivities of the reactions with these aldehydes are very similar (2.5–3.7 kcal/mol). This shows that the aldehyde substituent does not have strong effects on regioselectivities.

### Conclusions

We have studied the origins of regioselectivity in nickel-catalyzed reductive coupling reactions. The regioselectivity in coupling reactions of simple alkynes is controlled by steric effects; bulky substituents in simple alkynes prefer to be distal to the forming C–C bond. A very different phenomenon

dominates the regioselectivity in coupling reactions of conjugated enynes; the alkenyl group exerts a very strong preference to be distal to the forming C–C bond. These couplings of conjugated enynes occur via a 1,4-attack pathway that is stabilized by a  $\pi$ -metal interaction and results in a smaller transition state distortion of the alkyne relative to other possible mechanisms. Conjugated 1,3-diyne are also predicted to have strong directing effects on regioselectivities via a similar 1,4-attack pathway. The activation energies for bulkier phosphine ligands are lower, but the effects of phosphine ligands on regioselectivities are small. Different aldehydes are also predicted to have similar regioselectivities.

**Acknowledgment.** We are grateful to the National Science Foundation for financial support of this research (CHE-0548209). Calculations were performed on the National Science Foundation Terascale Computing System at the NCSA.

**Supporting Information Available:** Optimized Cartesian coordinates and energies and complete ref 14. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

JA909562Y